Postharvest temperature has a greater impact on apical dominance of potato seed-tuber than field growing-degree days exposure
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ABSTRACT

The potato tuber is a swollen stem, which is used as the propagation material for commercial potato crops. At the end of a period of dormancy, the number of sprouts growing from the tuber nodes represent loss of tuber apical dominance (AD) resulting in branching. In Mediterranean countries, seed tubers cannot be kept in cold storage for a long period as it leads to over-branching of the seed tuber after replanting. In order to test the hypothesis that temperature exposure in the field affects dormancy length and/or AD postharvest, we exposed seed tubers to different numbers of growing-degree days (GDD) during their development. Seed tubers were cultivated at 0, 300 and 880 m above sea level (ASL), at three different planting dates. Crops were exposed to 780–1250 GDD and extended growth period induce linear accumulating GDD. For both cultivars, 'Desiree' and 'Nicola', there was a low correlation between GDD in the field and the number of days of storage at 2 °C before 70% of the tubers were released from dormancy. The main factor affecting the duration of dormancy period was the cultivar. In both cultivars, there was a low correlation between the seed-tuber crop's exposure to GDD and the number of stems that emerged from the replanted tubers. The number of produced stems was mainly affected by the length of the storage period until replanting. Tubers that were replanted in the fall (following 130–190 d of storage) produced two to four stems each; whereas tubers replanted in the spring (following 210–270 d of storage) produced four to seven stems. There was a low correlation between mother-plant exposure to different numbers of GDD did not have any significant effect on the size distribution of the tubers produced by the daughter plants. Extending the storage period did consistently induce yield of smaller sized tubers. We suggest that potato seed-tubers do not “remember” their GDD history and their AD is mainly affected by the duration of cold storage.

1. Introduction

The potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) tuber is a swollen underground stem formed by the swelling of subapical underground stolons (Harris, 1992). As the tuber elongates, a growing number of lateral bud (LB) meristems (called eyes) are formed in a spiral arrangement on its surface (Goodwin, 1967). After harvest, tuber buds are generally dormant and will not sprout or grow, even if the tubers are placed under optimal conditions for sprouting (reviewed by Wiltshire and Cobb, 1996). The dormancy observed among harvested potato tubers is defined as endodormancy and is due to an unknown endogenous signal (or signals) that mediates the suppression of meristem growth (reviewed by Sonnewald and Sonnewald, 2014). Following a transition period of 1–15 weeks, depending on the storage conditions and the cultivar, dormancy is broken and ABs start to grow (Wiltshire and Cobb, 1996; Eshel, 2015). Typically one eye/sprout becomes dominant and inhibits
the growth of the other eyes, which are paradormant (Suttle, 2007; Teper-Bamnolker et al., 2012).

The nutrition hypothesis assumes that access to plant nutrients is the major factor regulating LBs growth (Phillips, 1975; Van den Ende, 2014; Buskila et al., 2016). Research centering on this hypothesis has shown that varying nitrogen supply can control the degree of AD (McIntyre, 1987; McIntyre, 1997), with nitrogen limitation delaying the activation of LBs (de Jong et al., 2014). This hypothesis has been narrowed down to the sugar nutrients, proposing that AD is maintained largely by the sugar demand of the shoot tip, which limits the amount of sugar available to the LBs (Mason et al., 2014; Rameau et al., 2014).

Apical dominance in potato tubers results in the apical bud (AB) exerting control over LB outgrowth. It is similar to the AD exerted by the shoot tip in many different plants (for review see Phillips, 1975; Cline, 1991; Dun et al., 2006; Leyser, 2009). Buskila et al. (2016) suggested that AD and its release may be divided into four developmental stages: the dormant-tuber stage, for which more than 2 weeks are needed for the AB to sprout at 14 °C; the active-tuber stage, during which LBs are dormant and less than 2 weeks is needed for the AB to sprout at 14 °C; the AD stage, during which LBs are suppressed by the AB; and AD loss, at which point the sprouting tuber has lost its AD and there are several developing LBs.

The dominance of the growing AB over the LBs decreases during storage and is one of the earliest morphophysiological indicators of the tuber's physiological age (Eshel, 2015). Teper-Bamnolker et al. (2012) observed three main types of loss of AD among stored potato tubers: loss of dominance of the ABs over those situated more basipetally on the tuber (Type I), loss of dominance of the main bud in any given eye over the subtending axillary buds within the same eye (Type II) and loss of dominance of the developing sprouts over their own branching, meaning that side stems do not emerge from the base of the sprout as in Type II (Type III). If tubers are kept in cold storage for a long time, they tend to sprout multiple stems and that phenomenon is referred to as tuber branching (Teper-Bamnolker et al., 2012; Salam et al., 2017).

Plant emergence, AD, tuber set and tuber size are all affected by the physiological age of seed tubers, which can substantially impact overall crop yield (Knowles and Knowles, 2016). Sprout type is one of the earliest morphophysiological indicators of a seed tuber's physiological age (Eshel and Teper-Bamnolker, 2012; Eshel, 2015). The physiological age of a seed tuber is the physiological stage that influences its productive capacity (Struik, 2007). The physiological status of a seed tuber at any time is determined by genotype, chronological age and environmental conditions from tuber initiation through the emergence of the daughter plant (reviewed by Caldiz, 2009). Struik (2007) suggested that the cumulative temperature during postharvest storage is the most important factor affecting physiological aging, although its effect is moderated by light conditions and genetic factors. The physiological age of seed tubers affects future crop performance (O’Brien et al., 1983; Vakis, 1986; Van Loon, 1987; Moll, 1994).

A few studies have suggested that the physiological status of a seed tuber at any particular moment is determined by genotype, chronological age and environmental conditions from tuber initiation until new plant emergence (reviewed by Caldiz, 2009). In this study, our objective was to evaluate the effect of growing temperature and duration of cold storage on the performance of propagation material after replanting. We conducted an extensive three-year field study and found that storage duration has a stronger effect on the field productivity of potato seed tubers than the exposure to GDD during the growth of the seed-tuber crop.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

Two different potato cultivars were used: ‘Nicola’ and ‘Desiree’. Each of these cultivars has a different dormancy period. ‘Nicola’ is considered a short-dormancy cultivar and ‘Desiree’ is considered to be a long-dormancy cultivar. Pre-basic-grade seed tubers were imported from Scotland in December 2013 and December 2014 and used as initial propagation material. The tubers used for the field trials had an average diameter of 35–45 mm.

2.2. Seed-tuber crops

Pre-basic-grade potato seed tubers were planted in three different locations and at three different dates in the years 2014 and 2015, so that the developing crops would be exposed to different numbers of GDD. Field trials were conducted at three locations in the northern Negev region of Israel: Kibbutz Saad [0 m above sea level (ASL)], Ashalim (300 m ASL) and Mitzpe Ramon (880 m ASL; Fig. 1).

Planting was carried out at three different times. The first planting was done in January, which is when local commercial growers generally plant potatoes and when temperatures are low. The second planting was done in February, which local growers consider to be a late planting time. The third planting was done in March, a time that is considered too late (i.e., too warm) by commercial growers (Fig. 1). When daughter tubers reached an average size of 45 mm (measured after sampling of 10 plants originated from the same planting date), the haulm was chopped and tubers were harvested after 14 d of skin set (during mid-May to June).

2.3. Calculation of GDD

Eight HOBO 8 K Pendant° temperature data-loggers (Onset; Bourne, MA, USA) were placed in each field, at a depth of 10 cm, which is where we would expect the daughter tubers to develop. Measurements were taken every 15 min for 5–6 months in each field. GDD was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\Sigma \left( \frac{T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}}}{2} - T_{\text{base}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{T_{\text{max}} + T_{\text{min}}}{2} - T_{\text{base}} \right)
\]

\(\Sigma = \) the number of days in the period beginning 1 month after the planting date and ending on the day the haulm was chopped; \(T_{\text{max}} = \) highest temperature; \(T_{\text{min}} = \) lowest temperature; \(T_{\text{base}} = 2 ^\circ C, \) at temperature at which we assume no growth occurs.

2.4. Determining the length of the dormancy period

After harvest, tubers were kept at 12 °C for 10 d of wound-healing and then transferred to a storage room kept at 2 °C and 95% RH (Fig. 1). For each treatment, every 2 weeks, we transferred 30 tubers from an environment kept at 2 °C to one kept at 14 °C and 85% RH, until sprouting, and counted the number of sprouting tubers every 10 d. A tuber was considered to be sprouting when at least one sprout had reached a length of at least 2 mm after 2 weeks at 14 °C. In this manner, we calculated the average number of days until 70% of the tubers had been released from dormancy.

2.5. Tuber crops

After storage, tubers sourced from all treatments (three growing areas and two to three planting dates) were replanted in one field, located at 300 m ASL, with 25-cm spacing (in row) in plots of 4 × 2 m that were arranged in randomized blocks with four replicates of each treatment. The field was cultivated according to the local commercial practice, briefly, seed tubers were treated preplanting with Pencycuron (Moncerer 250; Bayer CropSciences) by applying 500 ml ton⁻¹ in low volume spray. During growth tuber were irrigated in total amount of about 40 m³ ha⁻¹ and fertilized by ammonium nitrate. Seven treatments of 25 ga ha⁻¹ Mancozeb 75% (Manzidan, Dow AgroSinces) were applied during foliage development. After 90 d, at which point the daughter tubers had reached an average diameter of 45 mm, foliage was
flailing by chain topper. Replanting was done twice for each lot, during the fall (after 130–190 d of storage) and spring (after 210–270 d of storage) seasons of 2014–2016 (Fig. 1).

2.6. Counting emerging stems

To study the effect of mother-plant GDD exposure on the field performance of the daughter plants, stored seed tubers from all treatments were replanted in the same field. About 30 d after planting, 40 plants were sampled (randomly 10 plants in each replicate) and their aerial stem emergence was evaluated.

2.7. Tuber crop yield

Tuber yield was evaluated in two different ways: the size distribution of the harvested crop and total yield. From each replicate, we sampled a plot of 4 m². The collected tubers were then sorted into five size categories based on their diameter: 0–30 mm, 30–45 mm, 45–55 mm, 55–65 mm and greater than 65 mm. The tubers in each size category were weighed. Total yield was calculated as the sum of the weights of all of the tubers in all of the size categories. The results are displayed as ton ha⁻¹.

2.8. Statistical analysis

To get a better understanding of the independent contribution of each variable, we applied hierarchical partitioning (Blank and Blaustein, 2014; Blank et al., 2016) using the Hier.part package (Nally and Walsh, 2004). We calculated $R_p^2$ values (Nagelkerke, 1991) using the fmsb package (Nakazawa, 2014). All statistical analyses were carried out with R 3.1.0 (R Core Development Team).

3. Results

3.1. Effects of elevation and planting date on GDD exposure

In order to determine the effects of elevation and planting time on the GDD exposure of the daughter tubers, we measured the temperature in-row, at a depth of 10 cm, from the tuberization stage through haulm-chopping. Between February and July (2014 and 2015), the lowest daily underground (10 cm depth) average temperature was observed in the field located at 880 m ASL, where temperatures ranged between 7 and 22 °C. In both years, the temperature in that field was generally 2 and 4 °C lower than the temperature in the plots at 300 m ASL and at sea level, respectively (Fig. 2). The greatest difference was observed between May and July, when the ground temperature in the field at 880 m ASL was 18–20 °C, equivalent to the April ground temperature in the two other fields. Altitude also affected the daily day–night differences in temperature. Those differences were greater in the fields at 300 and 880 m ASL, in which we observed differences of 4–11 °C between day and night temperatures. In all treatments during 2014 and 2015, the period of time between the first tuberization of the daughter plants and the day that the haulm was chopped (at which point average tuber diameter was 45 mm) was 52–78 d in the year 2014 and 43–75 d in 2015 (Fig. 3). Crops planted at the earlier periods (January and February) took longer to develop (data not shown). The developing tubers were exposed to 780–1250 GDD in 2014 and 780–1000 GDD in 2015. As expected, the extended growth period induce linear accumulating GDD (Fig. 3).

3.2. The effect of field GDD on the length of the postharvest endodormancy period

In both cultivars, the linear regression between mother-plant GDD exposure and the amount of time the tubers needed to be stored at 2 °C before 70% of the tubers were released from dormancy was found to be low and not statistically significant (Fig. 4). Cultivar was the main...
factor that affected the endodormancy period; ‘Desiree’ exhibited an average endodormancy period of 60–130 d after haulm-chopping and ‘Nicola’ exhibited an average endodormancy period of 40–80 d after haulm-chopping. Slope was significantly only for Desire in 2015. This result indicated that there was association between endodormancy length and GDD.

3.3. The effect of mother-plant GDD exposure on the number of stems and yield of the daughter plants

In order to determine whether mother-plant GDD exposure affected the number of stems produced by the daughter plants grown from the stored tubers, tubers from all treatments were replanted at 300 m ASL. About 30 d after germination, aerial stems were counted. For both cultivars, the linear regression between the GDD exposure of the seed-tuber crop and the number of stems produced by plants grown from the replanted tuber crop was mostly low (Fig. 5). The main effects, especially in 2014, were seen for duration of the storage period and replanting time (Fig. 5). Tubers that were replanted in the fall (September) produced two to four stems (on average); whereas tubers replanted for the spring season (January) produced four to seven stems on average (Fig. 5).

The effect of GDD on stem number was more pronounced for the longer storage period (spring to spring). The slopes of only two treatment were statistically significant- Nicola in 2014 (spring to fall) (p value = 0.0047) and for Nicola (spring to spring) (p value = 0.019). The fact that mostly the regression between stems number and GDD was not significant indicate that there is not association between this predictor (GDD) and the response (number of stems).

In both cultivars, ‘Desiree’ and ‘Nicola’, the correlation between mother-plant GDD exposure and the total yield of the daughter plants was low (Fig. 6). For example, seed tubers from mother plants exposed

Fig. 2. Underground temperature as measured in 2014 and 2015 at Kibbutz Saad (0 m ASL), Ashalim (300 m ASL) and Mitzpe Ramon (880 m ASL). Sensors were placed in the rows at a depth of 10 cm (the depth at which daughter tubers are formed).

Fig. 3. Effect of the length of the growing period on accumulated GDD in potato plants grown at three different altitudes (0, 300 and 880 m ASL). The growing period is measured as the period beginning one month after planting and ending on the haulm-chopping date.
to 750 GDD during their development produced yields similar to those of seed tubers from mother plants that had been exposed to 1300 GDD (Fig. 6). A longer storage period induced a minor and non-significant increase in total yield in 2014 (Fig. 6).

Our analysis showed that storage duration did have an effect on the size distribution of the tuber crops (Fig. 7). GDD was divided into three categories: 700–850 d, 850–1000 d and 1000–1200 d. Storage duration was also divided into three categories: 130–170 d, 170–220 d and 220–270 d. For both cultivars, GDD exposure of the mother plants did not have any dramatic effect on the size distribution of the tubers produced by the daughter plants (Fig. 7). However, reducing the duration of the storage period resulted in the production of larger tubers (Fig. 7).

The effect of storage duration on stem number was found to be statistically significant for both cultivars. There were no significant differences between years (Table 1). Both GDD and duration of the storage period explained the variation in the number of stems better than they explained the variation in yield. This difference was more obvious for ‘Desiree’ (Table 2). Among both cultivars, storage duration accounted for much more of the variation in yield and stem number than GDD did (Table 3).

4. Discussion

4.1. Tuber postharvest dormancy is not affected by field GDD exposure

Dormancy is thought to be a physiological adaptation to intermittent periods of environmental limitations. This survival mechanism

Fig. 4. Effect of preharvest GDD exposure on the duration of postharvest dormancy among the two examined cultivars. Tubers were stored at 2 °C and samples were transferred every 2 weeks to an environment kept at 14 °C. We measured the number of days between the harvest date and the date at which 70% of the tubers had sprouted (i.e., with at least one sprout that was at least 2 mm long after 2 weeks at 14 °C). Linear regressions were calculated to describe the correlation between preharvest GDD exposure and the duration of postharvest dormancy.

Fig. 5. Effects of GDD exposure of the seed crop and storage duration on the number of stems in the field for ‘Desiree’ and ‘Nicola’. Seed-tuber crops were grown during the spring season of 2014 or 2015 at three different altitudes (0, 300 and 880 m ASL). Harvested tubers were stored at 2 °C until the next fall or spring season. Each data point represents the average number of stems among 40 plants (10 plants in four replicates) at 30 d after germination. Error bars represent the standard error.
prevents the sprouting of daughter tubers after they are formed (Suttle, 2007). Previous studies have suggested that the duration of the endodormancy period is primarily dependent on genotype, but it has also been suggested that other factors, such as the conditions under which the crop was grown and storage conditions after tuber harvest may also affect the duration of the endodormancy period (Turnbull and Hanke, 1985; Wiltshire and Cobb, 1996). Our study showed that the GDD conditions during the development of the seed-tuber crop had no significant effect on the length of the endodormancy period following the cold storage of harvested tubers (Fig. 4). This finding suggests that as long as the tuber is attached to the mother plant, it does not “remember” the exposure to GDD or that the postharvest effect of that exposure is negligible.

A few studies have shown that postharvest heat stress may induce early sprouting. Exposing potato tubers to a temperature of 33 °C for 2–3 weeks induced early sprouting when tubers were incubated at 14 °C immediately after the incubation at 33 °C (Salam et al., 2017). These results are in agreement with an earlier report on loss of AD in potato tubers upon short-term exposure to a range of high temperatures of 30–50 °C (Juknevičienė et al., 2011). Similarly, exposure of stems to extreme temperatures has been previously shown to induce early bud burst in trees such as ‘Anna’ apple (Malus domestica Borkh.; Wang and
The number of stems is determined mainly by the duration of the cold-storage period. The physiological age of seed tubers affects future crop performance (i.e., stem emergence rate, emergence rate, number of emerged stems per mother tuber, time to tuber initiation, crop vigor and growth, dry matter distribution and tuber yield) (O’Brien et al., 1983; Vakis, 1986; Van Loon, 1987; Moll, 1994; Knowles and Knowles, 2006). Struik (2007) defined the physiological age of a seed tuber as the physiological stage that influences its productive capacity. This suggests that the cumulative temperature during storage is the predominant factor affecting physiological aging, although it effects is moderated by light conditions and genetic factors.

In our study, we did not find any significant effect of GDD exposure during tuber growth on stem number or yield after replanting (Figs. 5 and 6). In our system, duration of storage had the strongest effect on those parameters. Storage at 2 °C prevented sprouting until planting in both seasons, fall and spring. A previous study showed that storage temperatures higher than 4 °C increase respiration and accelerate the physiological aging of seed tubers. Tubers exposed to a brief high-temperature, age-priming treatment and then kept at 4 °C for the remainder of a 190- to 200-day storage period maintained a higher basal metabolic (respiration) rate throughout the storage period, as compared with tubers stored at 4 °C for the entire season (Blauer et al., 2013).

A few studies have demonstrated that high temperatures during tuber formation promote aging postharvest and after replanting (Went, 1959; Cadiz et al., 1985; Cadiz et al., 1999). The physiological age index developed by Cadiz et al. (2001) suggests that the main period that should be considered in determining tuber age is the period from the haulm-chopping date of the seed crop (T0) through the postharvest sampling date or planting date. In our study, only storage duration had a significant effect on stem number, a clear marker of tuber physiological age (Eshel and Tepfer-Bamnolker, 2012).

Our recent study of postharvest sugar accumulation in potato tubers showed that the sweetening of the tuber parenchyma during cold storage is correlated with the production of multiple stems (Salam et al., 2017). During tuber development, the storage parenchyma converts soluble assimilates (i.e., sucrose, amino acids) into polymeric reserves i.e., starch and storage proteins; (Prat et al., 1990; Visser et al., 1994). At maturity, over 70% of the tuber carbohydrate reserves are sequestered as starch, which must be converted into transport-compatible solutes for sprouting initiation and growth (Sonnewald, 2001; Viola et al., 2007). Within the tuber, a rapid shift from storage metabolism (starch synthesis) to reserve mobilization during postharvest storage suggests a transition from sink to source.

Sucrose synthesis appears as a dominant anabolic pathway in the storage parenchyma of dormant and sprouting tubers (Viola et al., 2007). Cold-induced sweetening resulting from the accumulation of reducing sugars in cold-stored potato tubers has been mainly studied for its impact on potato processing (Sowokinos, 2001; Dale and Bradshaw, 2003). During cold-induced sweetening, sucrose synthesis increases and some sucrose is transported to the vacuole, where it is hydrolyzed to glucose and fructose (Isherwood, 1972; Isla et al., 1998; Sowokinos, 2001). This step is predominantly controlled by vacuolar acid invertase, an enzyme strongly associated with the accumulation of reducing sugars during cold storage (Matsuura-Endo et al., 2004). Silencing of the vacuolar acid invertase gene (VInv) effectively controls cold-induced sweetening (Bhaskar et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2016). The increased level of sucrose in VInv-silenced lines has been shown to be highly correlated with the loss of AD and an increased number of stems after replanting (Salam et al., 2017).

In this study, the dominant effect of the duration of cold storage on the number of stems produced after replanting emphasizes the importance of the sweetening process and suggests that it is the main cause for tuber branching (Salam et al., 2017). The development of methods to allow the prediction of future stem number based on the analysis of sugar levels in the seed tuber will enable the improved assessment of the physiological quality of seed tubers.
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